COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5480-03 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1702

Subject: Contracts and Contractors; State Departments; Administration, Office of; Political

Subdivisions

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 7, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to public works contracts.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
60	go.	\$0		
		FY 2013 FY 2014		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5480-03 Bill No. HB 1702 Page 2 of 5 March 7, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 5480-03 Bill No. HB 1702 Page 3 of 5 March 7, 2012

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the City of Kansas City, Department of Conservation, Department of Economic Development, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Linn State Technical College, Metropolitan Community College, Missouri State University, Missouri Western State University, Northwest Missouri State University, Office of Administration, St. Louis County, University of Central Missouri and the University of Missouri assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials at the **Mexico School District** assume the impact is unknown.

Officials at the **City of Columbia** assume this proposal could add an element of risk to our project timelines. Reducing the retainage from 10% to 5% could reduce the incentive to finish projects to our satisfaction on a timely basis. We cannot estimate the impact at this time.

Oversight assumes this proposal changes the amount of the retainage allowed to be withheld from subcontractors and would not result in an impact to state or local government funds.

Officials at the following cities: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kearney, Kennett, Kirksville, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lebanon, Lee Summit, Liberty, Linn, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Neosho, O'Fallon, Pacific, Peculiar, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following schools: Blue Springs Public Schools, Branson Public Schools, Columbia Public Schools, Fair Grove Schools, Francis Howell Public Schools, Independence Public Schools, Jefferson City Public Schools, Kansas City Public School Board, Kirksville Public Schools, Lee Summit Public Schools, Mehlville Public Schools, Nixa Public Schools, Parkway Public Schools, Raytown School District, Sedalia School District, Sikeston Public Schools, Silex Public Schools, Special School District of St. Louis County, St Joseph School District, St Louis Public Schools, St. Charles Public Schools, and Sullivan Public Schools did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 5480-03 Bill No. HB 1702 Page 4 of 5 March 7, 2012

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Butler, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Hickory, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lafayette, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Pemiscot, Perry, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Texas, Warren, Wayne and Webster did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of Columbia
City of Kansas City
Department of Conservation
Department of Economic Development
Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

JH:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5480-03 Bill No. HB 1702 Page 5 of 5 March 7, 2012

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Linn State Technical College Metropolitan Community College Mexico School District Missouri State University Missouri Western State University Northwest Missouri State University Office of Administration St. Louis County University of Central Missouri University of Missouri

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 7, 2012